

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I (a) PLAINTIFFS

MICHAEL MENTZER, Executor of the Estate of HAROLD
INC.,
MENTZER, SR., Deceased

DEFENDANTS

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, F/K/A ALLIED SIGNAL,
Successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF

COUNTY, NJ

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

BUCKS COUNTY

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT MORRIS

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE
LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED

(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)

Anapol, Schwartz, Weiss, Cohan, Feldman & Smalley
1900 Delancey Place
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215-790-4572

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

Rawle & Henderson LLP
The Widener Building
One South Penn Square
Philadelphia, PA 19107
(215) 575-4200

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)
(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX

DEFENDANT)

9 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	PTF 9 1	DEF 9 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State	PTFDEF 9 4 9 4
9 2 U.S. Government Defendant	9 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	9 2	9 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	9 5 9 5
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	9 3	9 3	Foreign Nation	9 6 9 6

IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

Appeal to District

9 1 Original Proceeding	X 2 Removed from State Court	9 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	9 4 Reinstated or Reopened	9 5 Transferred from another district (specify)	9 6 Multidistrict Litigation	9 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment
-----------------------------------	--	---	--------------------------------------	--	--	---

V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)**CONTRACT****TORTS****FORFEITURE/PENALTY****BANKRUPTCY****OTHER STATUTES**

9 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	9 610 Agriculture	9 422 Appeal	9 400 State
9 120 Marine	9 310 Airplane	9 362 Personal Injury--	9 620 Other Food&Drug	28 USC 158	
9 130 Miller Act	9 315 Airplane Product Liability	9 365 Med Malpractice Personal Injury--	9 625 Drug Related Seizure of Prop-	9 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	Reapportion ment
9 140 Negotiable Instrument					9 410 Antitrust
9 150 Recovery of Overpayment	9 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	erty 21, USC 881	PROPERTY RIGHTS	9 430 Banks and Banking
					9 450
& Enforcement of Judgment	9 330 Slander Federal Employers'	9 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	9 630 Liquor Laws	9 820 Copyrights	Commerce/I CC
			9 640 R.R. & Truck		Rates/etc.
9 151 Medicare Act	Liability	Liability	9 650 Airline Regs	9 830 Patent	Deportation Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization s

0691632.01

9 152	Recovery of Defaulted	9 340	Marine	9 680	Occupational	9 840	Trademark	9 810	Selective Service
	Student Loans	9 345	Marine Product		Safety/Health			9 850	
									Securities/Commodities/Exchange
9 153	(Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment	9 350	Liability Motor Vehicle	9 370	Other Fraud	9 690	Other	9 875	Customer Challenge
	of Veteran's Benefits	9 355	Motor Vehicle	9 371	Truth in Lending		LABOR		12 USC 3410
9 160	Stockholders' Suits		Product Liability	9 380	Other Personal			9 861	Agricultural Acts
9 190	Other Contract	9 360	Other Personal	9 385	Property Damage	9 710	Fair Labor	9 862	Economic Stabilization Act
9 195	Contract Product Liability		Injury		Property Damage		Standards Act	9 863	
						9 720	Labor/Mgmt.	9 864	
									Environment al Matters
							Relations	9 865	Energy Allocation Act
	REAL PROPERTY		CIVIL RIGHTS		PRISONER PETITIONS	9 730	Labor/Mgmt. Reporting &		9 895
9 210	Land Condemnation	9 441	Voting	9 510	Motions to Vacate		Disclosure Act	9 870	Freedom of Information Act
9 220	Foreclosure	9 442	Employment		Sentence	9 740	Railway Labor		Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice
9 230	Rent Lease & Ejectment	9 443	Housing/ Accommodations	9 530	Habeas Corpus		Act	9 871	
9 240	Torts to Land			9 540	Mandamus & Other	9 790	Other Labor		9 950
									Constitutionality of State Statutes
9 245	Tort Product Liability	9 444	Welfare	9 550	Civil Rights		Litigation		
9 290	All Other Real Property	9 440	Other Civil Rights			9 791	Empl. Ret. Inc.	X 890	Other Statutory Actions
							Security Act		

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

(CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.
DO NOT CITE JURISDICTION STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY.)

28 USC §1452(A) §1334(B) and Federal Bankruptcy Rule 9027

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A **CLASS ACTION**
9 UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DEMAND \$

Check YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: 9 YES 9 NO**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See Instructions):

JUDGE N/A

DOCKET NUMBER

N/A

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: Michael Mentzer, 156 Rocksville Rd., Holland, PA 18966

Address of Defendant: Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc., Columbia Road and Park Avenue, Morristown, New Jersey 07962

Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Asbestos Exposure in Pennsylvania and elsewhere
(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)

Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?

Yes **X**

No **G**

RELATED CASE IF ANY

Case Number: N/A Judge N/A Date Terminated: N/A

Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:

1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 1. Yes **G** No **G**

2. Does this case involve the same issues of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Yes **G** No **G**

4. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this Court? 5. Yes **G** No **G**

CIVIL: (Place : in **ONE CATEGORY ONLY**)

A. Federal Question Cases:

1. **G** Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts
2. **G** FELA
3. **G** Jones Act—Personal Injury
4. **G** Antitrust
5. **G** Patent
6. **G** Labor-Management Relations
7. **G** Civil Rights
8. **G** Habeas Corpus
9. **G** Securities Act(s) Cases
10. **G** Social Security Review Cases
11. **X** All other Federal Question Cases (please specify) 28 USC §1452, 1334(b); Bankruptcy Rule 9027(a)(3)

B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:

1. **G** Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. **G** Airplane Personal Injury
3. **G** Assault, Defamation
4. **G** Marine Personal Injury
5. **G** Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. **G** Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. **G** Products Liability
8. **G** Products Liability—Asbestos
9. **G** All Other Diversity Cases (Please specify)

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION

(Check appropriate Category)

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire, counsel of record do hereby certify:

X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 8, Section 4(a)(2), that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 exclusive of interest and costs;

G Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

DATE: _____ Peter J. Neeson, Esquire _____ 27601
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D. #

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: _____ 27601

CIV. 609(9/99)

Attorney-at-Law

Attorney I.D. #

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: Michael Mentzer, 156 Rocksville Rd., Holland, PA 18966

Address of Defendant: Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc., Columbia Road and Park Avenue, Morristown, New Jersey 07962

Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Asbestos Exposure in Pennsylvania and elsewhere
(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)

Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes **X** No **G**

RELATED CASE IF ANY

Case Number: N/A Judge N/A Date Terminated: N/A

Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:

6. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 1. Yes **G** No **G**

2. Does this case involve the same issues of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Yes **G** No **G**

4. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this Court? 5. Yes **G** No **G**

CIVIL: (Place : in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)

A. Federal Question Cases:

1. **G** Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts
2. **G** FELA
3. **G** Jones Act—Personal Injury
4. **G** Antitrust
5. **G** Patent
6. **G** Labor-Management Relations
7. **G** Civil Rights
8. **G** Habeas Corpus
9. **G** Securities Act(s) Cases
10. **G** Social Security Review Cases
11. **X** All other Federal Question Cases (please specify) 28 USC §1452, 1334(b); Bankruptcy Rule 9027(a)(3)

B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:

1. **G** Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. **G** Airplane Personal Injury
3. **G** Assault, Defamation
4. **G** Marine Personal Injury
5. **G** Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. **G** Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. **G** Products Liability
8. **G** Products Liability—Asbestos
9. **G** All Other Diversity Cases (Please specify)

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION

(Check appropriate Category)

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire, counsel of record do hereby certify:

X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 8, Section 4(a)(2), that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 exclusive of interest and costs;

G Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

DATE: _____ Peter J. Neeson, Esquire 27601
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D. #

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: _____ 27601
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D. #

CIV. 609(9/99)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

MICHAEL MENTZER, Executor of the
Estate of HAROLD MENTZER, SR.,
Deceased

v.

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.
f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC., Successor
in interest to BENDIX CORPORATION,
et al.

Civil Action:

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

- (a) Habeas Corpus -- Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2441 through § 2255 ()
- (b) Social Security -- Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()
- (c) Arbitration -- Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 8. ()
- (d) Asbestos -- Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ()
- (e) Special Management -- Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases) (**X**)
- (f) Standard Management -- Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. ()

(Date)

Attorney-at-law
Attorneys for Defendant Honeywell
International, Inc., f/k/a Allied
Signal as successor in interest to
Bendix Corporation

RAWLE & HENDERSON ^{LLP}

**BY: PETER J. NEESON, ESQUIRE ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT,
STEWART R. SINGER, ESQUIRE HONEYWELL**

INTERNATIONAL,

**JOHN C. McMEEKIN II, ESQUIRE
INC.,**

INC., F/K/A ALLIED SIGNAL,

ATTORNEY I.D. NO. 27601/62006/81250

**SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO
BENDIX CORPORATION**

**THE WIDENER BUILDING
ONE SOUTH PENN SQUARE
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107
(215) 575-4200
R&H File No.: 516,257(Anapol)**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
[EASTERN DISTRICT]**

MICHAEL MENTZER, Executor of the :
Estate of HAROLD MENTZER, SR., :
Deceased :
:

v.

:

:

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. : Civil Action No.:
f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC., Successor :
in interest to BENDIX CORPORATION :

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C § 1452
AND FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 9027**

TO: ALL PARTIES ON ANNEXED SERVICE LIST

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc., (“Honeywell”), as successor in interest to the Bendix Corporation (“Bendix”), by and through its undersigned counsel, Rawle & Henderson ^{LLP}, hereby gives notice of the removal to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania of the claims that have been asserted against Honeywell in the action captioned Michael Mentzer, Executor of the Estate of Harold Mentzer, Sr., Deceased v. Pneumo Abex Corp., et al, now pending in the Common Pleas Court of the State of Pennsylvania, County of Bucks, with 2002 June Court Term and Number 90104. This Notice of Removal is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a) and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of

Bankruptcy Procedure, and as grounds for such removal Honeywell respectfully states as follows:

1. On October 1, 2001 (the "Petition Date"), Federal-Mogul Global, Inc. (collectively "Federal-Mogul") filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, commencing bankruptcy case number 01-10578.

2. The above referenced state action, which is "related to" the removed claims, was commenced on June 17, 2002, by the filing of a Summons & Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Bucks County.

3. The removed claims are for personal injury or wrongful death asserted against Honeywell. Honeywell, formerly known as Allied Signal Inc., is the successor in interest to Allied Corporation which, in turn, was the successor in interest to The Bendix Corporation. The Automotive Sector of Allied Signal Inc. was the business unit within Allied Signal Inc. which continued the "Bendix" line of automotive friction products.

4. Federal-Mogul, or companies acquired by Federal Mogul Global, Inc., are named as co-defendants of Honeywell in this action.

5. The plaintiff(s) in the above referenced action have asserted that joint and several liability arises as to each named co-defendant in this action.

6. As a result, in the above referenced action Honeywell has either affirmatively asserted a cross-claim for indemnification and/or contribution against Federal-Mogul, or such a cross-claim against Federal-Mogul arises automatically by operation of law.

7. Conversely, Federal-Mogul has also either affirmatively asserted a cross-claim for indemnification and/or contribution against Honeywell, or such a cross-claim against Honeywell arises automatically by operation of law.

8. The above referenced claims for personal injury asserted against Honeywell, as well as the cross-claims asserted by Honeywell and the corresponding cross-claims asserted by Federal-Mogul, may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a). Removal of

these claims is proper because the removed claims are: asserted in a civil action; not exempt from removal; and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the removed claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1334 due to the fact that these cross-claims asserted by and against Honeywell are “related to” Federal-Mogul’s bankruptcy proceeding. See In re Dow Corning Corp., 86 F.3d 482, 494 (6th Cir. 1996) (holding that Section 1334(b) “related to” subject matter jurisdiction exists over actions for indemnification and contribution claims asserted by non-debtor co-defendants against the debtor).

9. Removal to this Court is timely pursuant to Rule 9027 (a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure in that the claims in the civil action are “related to” the October 1, 2001, Federal-Mogul bankruptcy case, and this Notice has been filed within thirty days after: (1) receipt of the initial pleading setting forth the claim or cause of action sought to be removed, or (2) receipt of the summons, if the initial pleading has been filed with the court but not served with the summons.

10. Consent of the other named co-defendants is not necessary for removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452. See Creasy v. Coleman Furniture Corp., 763 F.2d 656, 660 (4th Cir. 1985). Further, a cost bond is not required to accomplish this removal.

11. Upon removal, the proceedings with respect to the removed claims are non-core. Honeywell does not consent to entry of a final order or judgment by the bankruptcy judge to the extent the bankruptcy court is authorized to hear or determine such claims consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5).

12. On December 17, 2001, Honeywell filed a Motion to Transfer, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(5) (the “Transfer Motion”), with the United States District Court for the District of Delaware asking that Court to issue a provisional order to transfer the above referenced removed claims for a consolidated resolution of the threshold scientific question, by means of a Daubert hearing, whether the plaintiffs in the underlying actions can establish that automotive friction products containing encapsulated asbestos fibers can be the proximate cause of certain asbestos-related medical disorders. See 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5) (1994); Daubert v. Merrill Dow

Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993); In re Dow Corning Corp., 86 F.3d at 496-97 (holding that 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5) vests the power to fix venue over personal injury or wrongful death actions pending against non-debtor co-defendants which are “related to” a debtor’s bankruptcy proceeding, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b), with the district court where the bankruptcy case resides). See Exhibit “A.”

13. On December 19, 2001 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order in favor of Honeywell that: (1) partially withdrew the reference; and (2) provisionally transferred the Friction Product claims to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, (the “Provisional Order”), subject to further Orders of that Court. See Exhibit “B.”

14. On January 4, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the “Clarification Order”), that clarified the Provisional Order by extending the provisional transfer of Friction Product claims to include claims that would have been subject to the Provisional Order, but had not yet been removed on the date of the Provisional Order. See Exhibit “C.”

15. On February 8, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the “Remand Order”), granting plaintiffs’ Motion for Remand. See Exhibit “D.”

16. On February 11, 2002 the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order temporarily granting an Emergency Motion for Stay (the “Stay Order”), pending appeal of the Remand Order by appellants. See Exhibit “E.”

17. On March 19, 2002, the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States District Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order (the “Stay Clarification Order”), that clarified that the Stay Order issued on February 11, 2002 applies to all appellants and to all removed claims before the Delaware District Court. See Exhibit “F.”

18. On March 25, 2002, Chief Judge Becker of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals issued an order establishing a briefing schedule and set June 17, 2002, as the date to hear oral argument on the appeal (the “Scheduling Order”). See Exhibit “G.”

19. A copy of the Scheduling Order also provides that “[t]he temporary stay entered by this Court on February 11, 2002, as clarified by the Order of March 19, 2002, will remain in effect until further order of the merits panel.” See Exhibit “G.”

20. Honeywell respectfully submits that the effect of the stay pending appeal is to leave in place the previous transfer orders issued by the Delaware District Court. Because the Remand Order has been stayed, the Delaware District Court’s provisional transfer order is still the operative order, and all claims removed by Honeywell continue to be provisionally transferred automatically to Delaware.

21. Accordingly, pursuant to Judge Weiner’s Order dated April 12, 2002 (the “Abstention/Remand Order”) “all pending motions for abstention and remand are denied without prejudice . . . [t]he cases are administratively dismissed, subject to reinstatement following the determination of the bankruptcy issues by Judge Wolin and any subsequent appeals thereto.” See Exhibit “H.”

22. A copy of this Notice of Removal and related documents have been served by regular mail on counsel of record for all represented parties and upon all unrepresented parties to the action pending in state court.

Respectfully submitted,
RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By: _____

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire

Stewart R. Singer, Esquire

John C. McMeekin II, Esquire

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied

Signal as successor in interest to

Bendix Corporation

Dated:

**CERTIFICATION OF STATE COURT
RECORDS, PLEADINGS AND PROCEEDINGS**

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire; Stewart R. Singer, Esquire; John C. McMeekin, Esquire; of full age, certify that on this day, we attached to the Notice of Removal as Exhibit "I", a copy of all of the appropriate records, pleadings and proceedings in the State Court as requested by this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By: _____

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire
Stewart R. Singer, Esquire
John C. McMeekin II, Esquire
Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied
Signal as successor in interest to
Bendix Corporation

Dated:

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire/Stewart R. Singer, Esquire/John C. McMeekin II, Esquire, of full age, hereby certify that on this day, I caused a true and correct copy of Defendant's Notice to Remove Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1452 of the United States Code and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure to be served on all counsel listed below as follows.

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Lawrence R. Cohan, Esquire
ANAPOL SCHWARTZ WEISS COHAN FELDMAN & SMALLEY
1900 Delancey Place
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Plaintiff

Steven B. Kantrowitz, Esquire
Kantrowitz & Phillippi, LLC
Centre Square West
1500 Market Street, Suite 4210
Philadelphia, PA 19102
Attorney for Pneumo Abex Corporation

Joseph R. Glancy, Esquire
Stack & Stack
1600 Locust Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Crown, Cork & Seal

Christine O. Boyd, Esquire
Lavin, Coleman, O'Neil, Ricci, Finarelli & Gray
Penn Mutual Tower
510 Walnut Street, 12th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Attorney for Daimler Chrysler Corporation and General Motors Corporation

Mark Lipowicz, Esquire
Duane Morris LLP
4200 One Liberty Place
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Ford Motor Company

Tracey M. McDevitt, Esquire
Reilly, Janiczek & McDevitt, P.C.

The Widener Building, Suite 520
One South Penn Square
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Attorney for Foster Wheeler Corporation

Daniel Segal, Esquire
Hangley, Aronchick, Segal & Pudlin
One Logan Square
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for General Refractories Company

Sharon L. Caffrey, Esquire
Duane Morris LLP
One Liberty Place, Suite 4200
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7396
Attorney for IMO Industries

Joseph M. O'Neill, Esquire
Marks, O'Neill, Reilly, O'Brien & Courtney, P.C.
1880 JFK Blvd., Suite 1200
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Mahoning Valley Gasket Company

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
Wilbraham, Lawler & Buba
Suite 3100, 1818 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Maremont Corporation

Eric J. Kadish, Esquire
McCarter & English
Mellon Bank Center
1735 Market Street, Suite 700
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Owens Illinois, Inc.

Richard C. Polley, Esquire
Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote
Two PPG Place, Suite 400
Pittsburgh, PA 15222-5402
Attorney for Rapid American and Sager Corporation

Daniel J. Ryan, Jr., Esquire
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
1845 Walnut St.

Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Rockbestos-Surprenant Cable Corp.

Bruce S. Haines, Esquire
Hangley, Aronchick, Segal & Pudlin
One Logan Square
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Uniroyal, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,
RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By:____
Peter J. Neeson, Esquire
Stewart R. Singer, Esquire
John C. McMeekin II, Esquire
Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied
Signal as successor in interest to
Bendix Corporation

Dated: